

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/709,483	11/13/2000	Oh-Nam Kwon	8733.307.00	4557	
30827 7	590 06/27/2003				
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			EXAMINER		
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO			РНАМ, ТІ	PHAM, THANH V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¬			Sm				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advis ry Action	09/709,483	KWON, OH-NAM					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh V Pham	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	s Brief must be filed within the pe						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>27 May 2</u>	2003 is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the	Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		George F Primary E					
S Patent and Trademark Office							



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments, see second paragraph of page 2 of the Remark dated and filed 05/27/03, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph, have been fully considered and are not persuasive because 'one skill in the art would understand that a substrate used in LCD device would be made of glass' is not neccesay true (Nishiki's US 2003/0030760 A1 [0018]). Further, the amendment is in part # a negative limitation excluding substrate other than glass substrate and it is no support for that amendment (MPEP 2173.05.l).

Upon further consideration, the previous Response, page 3's paragraph 6, dated Feb. 04, 2003 which is not Feb. 14, 2003 as stated in the third paragraph of page 2 in the Remark dated 5/27/03.

Continuation of 10. Other: The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 05/27/03. These drawings are acceptable. Figure 4 (same as figure 7 of the Cecilia Y. Mak reference, provided by the applicant) should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance..